

An Update on EPA Attainment Modeling Guidance for Ozone/PM2.5/Regional Haze



Brian Timin, Pat Dolwick, Norm Possiel, Sharon
Phillips and Marc Houyoux

EPA/OAQPS

4th Annual CMAS Model-3 User's Conference

September 28, 2005



Topics to be covered

- Why is guidance needed?
- Status of guidance
- Components of the guidance
- Updates and next steps



Why Do We Use Photochemical Grid Models in Regulatory Applications ?

- The ultimate goal of ozone and particulate matter modeling is to assist policy makers in determining the most efficient ways of reaching a **future** air-quality goal.
 - Models are used to predict the effects of future control strategies
 - Controls necessary for State Implementation Plan (SIP) attainment demonstrations (States)
 - Air quality impacts of national rules (EPA)




Attaining the NAAQS

- States must submit SIPs for ozone, PM_{2.5}, and Regional Haze by 2007-2008
- EPA provides modeling “guidance” documents to assist States that are performing photochemical modeling
 - Ozone guidance
 - PM_{2.5}/Regional Haze guidance
- Guidance helps to ensure national consistency and maintain basic standards



Status of Ozone Modeling Guidance

- “Guidance on the Use of Models and Other Analyses in Attainment Demonstrations for the 8-hour Ozone NAAQS”
 - Original draft released in 1999
 - Released “Draft Final” version on February 17th, 2005
 - Comments received in March
- Available on EPA’s website at:
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/scram/guidance/guide/draft-final-o3.pdf>
- In final review
 - Final version to be released in October



Status of PM2.5/Regional Haze Modeling Guidance

- “Guidance for Demonstrating Attainment of Air Quality Goals for PM2.5 and Regional Haze”
 - January, 2001
- Available on EPA’s website at:
http://www.epa.gov/scram001/guidance/guide/draft_pm.pdf
- Revised draft will be available by the end of 2005
 - Final version in early 2006
- Plan to incorporate final version of ozone and PM2.5 guidance into a single document



What's in the Guidance?

- Part I- Using Model Results
 - Modeled Attainment tests
 - 8-hour ozone NAAQS
 - Unmonitored area analysis
 - Annual and 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS
 - Hot spot modeling (high primary PM2.5 areas)
 - Regional Haze reasonable progress
 - Supplemental analyses/weight of evidence
 - Activities to support Mid-Course review and future modeling
 - Required documentation



What's in the Guidance?

- Part II- Generating Model Results
 - Conceptual description
 - Modeling protocol
 - Selecting a model(s)
 - Choosing days/episodes
 - Selecting domain & spatial resolution
 - Developing met inputs
 - Developing emissions inputs
 - Evaluating model performance/diagnostic analyses



Choosing an Air Quality Model

- There is no “preferred model”
 - Models should meet Appendix W requirements for “alternative models”
- Models should be:
 - Peer reviewed
 - Demonstrated to be applicable to the problem being addressed
 - Adequate data bases should be available to run the model
 - Model should be shown to have performed adequately in the past
 - Source code must be available at no cost (or for reasonable cost)
- Almost all States will use the latest version of either CMAQ or CAMx
 - Both models can simulate ozone, PM, regional haze, and mercury



Current Recommendations for “Episode” Selection

■ Ozone NAAQS

- Model most frequent meteorological regimes which cause high ozone
- At least 10 “high” ozone days at each monitor

■ Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS

- Model full year or ≥ 15 days per quarter

■ 24 Hour NAAQS

- Model days $> 55 \text{ ug/m}^3$

■ Regional Haze

- Preferred approach is to model a full year
- Model at least 10 worst (and best) visibility days at each Class 1 area



Modeling Domain and Resolution

■ Horizontal resolution

- Ozone- Recommend ≤ 12 km resolution
 - Some areas may need finer resolution
- PM_{2.5}- Recommend ≤ 12 km resolution for urban scale modeling
 - ≤ 36 km for regional modeling
 - Higher resolution may be necessary in areas with high primary PM_{2.5} concentration gradients
- Recommend ≤ 36 km resolution for regional haze modeling



Modeled Attainment Tests

- All O₃/PM_{2.5}/RH modeled attainment tests use model estimates in a “relative” sense
 - Premise: models are better at predicting relative changes in concentrations than absolute concentrations
- Relative Reduction Factors (RRF) are calculated by taking the ratio of the model’s future to current predictions of ozone or PM_{2.5}
 - Ambient concentration X RRF = Future concentration



Application of (Speciated) Modeled Attainment Test

- Ozone
 - Relatively simple because ozone is just ozone
- Attainment tests for PM_{2.5} and Regional Haze need to use all of the PM_{2.5} component species
 - Individual RRFs are calculated for each PM_{2.5} species (e.g. sulfate, nitrate, organic carbon, elemental carbon, ammonium, crustal, etc.)
 - Total PM_{2.5} is reconstructed from the sum of individual components



Application of Speciated Modeled Test for Regional Haze

- The Regional Haze test also calculates RRFs for PM2.5 components
 - Ammonium sulfate, ammonium nitrate, organic carbon, elemental carbon, soil/crustal, coarse mass
- Visibility is calculated from reconstructed PM2.5 using the IMPROVE equation
 - $$b_{\text{ext}} = 3((f(rh)))[\text{SO}_4] + 3((f(rh)))[\text{NO}_3] + 4(f'(rh))[\text{OC}] + 10[\text{EC}] + 1[\text{IP}] + 0.6[\text{CM}]$$
- Change in deciviews is calculated for the 20% worst days and 20% best days in each Class I area to determine if reasonable progress is met



Supplemental Analyses/”Weight of Evidence”

- All attainment demonstrations should include supplemental analyses to assess the validity of the modeled attainment test projection.
 - Guidance suggests amount of supporting information should be greatest for those areas with modeled projections near the NAAQS.
- Three separate types of supplemental analyses should be considered within an attainment demonstration.
 - Additional air quality modeling evidence
 - Trends in ambient air quality and emissions
 - Other air quality analyses that can identify potential control targets



Supplemental Analyses/”Weight of Evidence” con’t

- “Weight of evidence” differs from “supplemental analyses” in that:
 - 1) WOE is a set of supplemental analyses for areas whose attainment test results indicate future AQ levels near the NAAQS.
 - 2) WOE combines and weights the various supplemental analyses with the results of the attainment test ... the end result being an **aggregate, weighted conclusion** as to whether a set of control strategies will yield attainment by the relevant future year.



Ozone Guidance- Next Steps

- Finalize document in October
 - Recent major updates:
 - “Current” design values calculated using average of three design value periods (5 years of data)
 - All attainment demonstrations should include “supplemental” analyses to corroborate the modeling results
 - Replaced “screening test” with an unmonitored area analysis
 - Recommend modeling longer time periods
 - Raised minimum threshold for RRF calculation to 85 ppb
 - Redefined weight of evidence as 82-87 ppb



PM2.5/Regional Haze Guidance- Next Steps

- Beginning to revise the draft PM2.5 guidance
 - Major updates include the details of the attainment test calculations (SMAT) for PM2.5 and RH
 - Model performance evaluations
- Hope to release a revised draft by the end of the year (combined ozone/PM2.5/Regional Haze modeling guidance)