## Simulation of Arctic Black Carbon using Hemispheric CMAQ: Role of Russia's BC Emissions, Transport, and Deposition

### Kan Huang<sup>1</sup> and Joshua S. Fu<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering The University of Tennessee

<sup>2</sup>UT-ORNL Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Graduate Education



14<sup>th</sup> CMAS Conference

October 5 - 7, 2015

# Outline

# Introduction

- Background: climate effects from black carbon
- Motivation: mitigate warming in the Arctic
- Black carbon emissions reconstruction for Russia
- To fill information gaps
- Numerical simulation and evaluation
- Hemispheric WRF/CMAQ modeling in the Arctic
- Impact assessment
- Transport and deposition of black carbon in the Arctic



Bond et al., 2013, JGR



Main transport pathways of air pollutants to the Arctic

(AMAP, 2011)

#### **Ensemble model simulations of Arctic black carbon**

Model	Gas-phase	Aerosols	Prescribed lifetime	Horizontal Resolution
1. CAMCHEM	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	SO2, BC	Y	1.9
2. ECHAM5-HAMMOZ		SO2, BC		2.8
3. EMEP	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	SO2		1.0
4. FRSGC/UCI	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO		Y	2.8
5. GEOSChem	NOx	SO2, BC		2.0
6. GISS-PUCCINI	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	SO2, BC	Y	4.0
7. GMI	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	SO2, BC	Y	2.0
8. GOCART-2		SO2, BC		2.0
<ol><li>LMDz4-INCA</li></ol>		SO2, BC		2.5
<ol><li>10. LLNL-IMPACT</li></ol>	NO <sub>X</sub> , CO	SO2, BC		2.0
<ol> <li>MOZARTGFDL</li> </ol>	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	SO2, BC	Y	1.9
<ol><li>MOZECH</li></ol>	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO		Y	2.8
13. SPRINTARS		SO2, BC		1.1
<ol><li>STOCHEM-HadGEM1</li></ol>	NO <sub>X</sub> , CO			3.8
<ol><li>STOCHEM-HadAM3</li></ol>	NO <sub>X</sub> , CO	SO2	Y	5.0
16. TM5-JRC	NOx	SO2, BC		1.0
17. UM-CAM	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO		Y	2.5

All models strongly underestimated BC concentrations in the Arctic





Across-the-board adjustments such as altering wet scavenging rates may improve biases in one region but make them worse in another (*Bond* et al., 2013).

### **Motivations**

#### Arctic black carbon simulation problems:

Large diversity of modeling BC among different models (Shindell et al., 2008)
Strong underestimation of BC in Arctic (Shindell et al., 2008; Koch et al., 2009)
Improper wet scavenging parameterizations (Bourgeois et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011)



Major emission source regions for Arctic black carbon:

Europe (EMEP) United States (USEPA NEI) Canada (NPRI)



# Outline

# Introduction

- Background: climate effects from black carbon
- Motivation: mitigate warming in the Arctic

# Black carbon emissions reconstruction for Russia

To fill information gaps

# Numerical simulation and evaluation

Hemispheric WRF/CMAQ modeling in the Arctic

# Impact assessment

Transport and deposition of black carbon in the Arctic

### Gas flaring: a missing BC source



Top 20 gas flaring countries



Russia possess the largest natural gas reserves of 24% in the world as of 2009. (*Dmitry Volkov, 2008*)





### Gas flaring BC emission factor measurement

*In situ* measurement of gas flaring B emission factor (Johnson et al., 2013)

Sky-LOSA : Line-Of-Sight Attenuation of sky-light





#### Compressor station flare in Mexico, 2011

- 0.51-m dia., lightly sooting flare (τ≈90%)
- Soot emission rate: 0.067 ± 0.02 g/s
- Roughly equivalent to emissions from
   16 diesel buses continuously driving

### Gas Plant Flare in Uzbekistan, 2008

- 1.05-m dia., visibly sooting flare (τ≈60%)
- Soot emission rate: 2.0 ± 0.66 g/s

16

 Roughly equivalent to emissions from 500 diesel buses continuously driving

Significant difference of BC EF from different flares
 EF measured by Sky-LOSA is not appropriate for emission estimation (i.e. unit in g/s)

Need mass of black carbon per mass of fuel burned

Courtesy:http://www.unep.org/ccac/Portals/50162/docs/ccac/initiatives/oil\_and\_gas/Sky %20-%20LOSA.PDF (taken from slides by Prof. Matthew Johnson from Carleton Univ.)

### Estimation of gas flaring EF and emission in Russia

#### laboratory scale flare experiment

#### (McEwen and Johnson, 2012)



Associated Gas		Heating Value	Volume Percentage (%)			
Composition		(MJ/m <sup>3</sup> )	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	
	Methane	$CH_4$	39.9012	61.7452	45.6094	19.4437
	Ethane	C₂H₅	69.9213	7.7166	16.314	5.7315
	Propane	$C_3H_8$	101.3231	17.5915	21.1402	4.5642
	i-Butane	$i-C_4H_{10}$	133.1190	3.7653	5.1382	4.3904
	n-Butane	$n-C_4H_{10}$	134.0610	4.8729	7.0745	9.6642
	i-Pentanes	$i-C_5H_{12}$	148.4913	0.9822	1.4431	9.9321
	n-Pentane	$n-C_5H_{12}$	141.1918	0.9173	1.3521	12.3281
	i-Hexane	$i-C_6H_{14}$	176.8591	0.5266	0.7539	13.8146
	n-Hexane	$n-C_6H_{14}$	177.1907	0.2403	0.2825	3.7314
	i-Heptane	$i-C_7H_{16}$	205.0068	0.0274	0.1321	6.726
	Benzene	C₅H₅	147.3980	0.0017	0.0061	0.0414
	n-Heptane	$n-C_7H_{16}$	205.0068	0.1014	0.0753	1.5978
	i-Octane	$i-C_8H_{18}$	232.8155	0.0256	0.0193	4.3698
	Toluene	$C_7H_8$	373.0365	0.0688	0.0679	0.0901
	n-Octane	$n-C_8H_{18}$	232.8155	0.0017	0.0026	0.4826
	i-Nonane	$i-C_9H_{20}$	260.6688	0.0006	0.0003	0.8705
	n-Nonane	$n-C_9H_{20}$	260.6688	0.0015	0.0012	0.8714
	i-Decane	$i-C_{10}H_{22}$	288.4775	0.0131	0.01	0.1852
	n-Decane	$n-C_{10}H_{22}$	288.4775	0.0191	0.016	0.1912
	Carbon dioxide	$CO_2$	-	0.0382	0.1084	0.7743
	Nitrogen	$N_2$	-	1.343	0.453	0.1995
	Hydrogen sulfide	$H_2S$	-	0	0	0

 $F_{HC} = \alpha_{HC, SI} * \beta_{SI} + \alpha_{HC, S2} * \beta_{S2} + \alpha_{HC, S3} * \beta_{S3},$  $\beta_{S3}: [10\%, \dots 15\%]; \beta_{SI}: [50\%, \dots 70\%], \text{ and } \beta_{S2} = 1 - \beta_{SI} - \beta_{S3},$  $HV_{APG} = \sum HV_{HC} * F_{HC},$ 

#### Composition of the associated gas in Russia

### Estimation of gas flaring EF and emission in Russia (cont.)



BC<sub>flaring</sub> = Volume \* EF<sub>flare</sub>

Volume : Gas flaring volume of Russia in 2010 was **35.6 BCM** (billion cubic meters) The BC emission from Russia's gas flaring in 2010 is estimated to be **81.0 Gg**.

### Spatial distribution of gas flaring BC emission



Gas flare areas (red polygon) retrieved from satellite (U.S. Air Force Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Operational Linescan System (OLS))

#### Spatial allocation proxy (contour) nighttime lights product

Data source: NOAA NGDC



### **Russian anthropogenic BC emissions by sectors**



# Outline

# Introduction

- Background: climate effects from black carbon
- Motivation: mitigate warming in the Arctic
- Black carbon emissions reconstruction for Russia
- To fill information gaps
- Numerical simulation and evaluation
- Hemispheric WRF/CMAQ modeling in the Arctic
- Impact assessment
- Transport and deposition of black carbon in the Arctic

# Arctic black carbon modeling domain

### Hemispheric CMAQ (H-CMAQ)



### **Black carbon emissions inputs**



### Model performances in US, W. Europe and China



# **Observational sites in Russia and the Arctic**

### **AERONET (Russia)**

#### **Moscow**

(55.7 ° N, 37.5 ° E)

### **Zvenigorod**

(55.7 ° N, 36.8 ° E)

### <u>Yekaterinburg</u>

(57.0 ° N, 59.5 ° E)

#### <u>Tomsk</u>

(56.5 ° N, 85.0 ° E)

### <u>Yakutsk</u>

(61.7 ° N, 129.4 ° E)

### <u>Ussuriysk</u>

(43.7 ° N, 132.2 ° E)



### Arctic sites Barrow, USA (71.3 ° N, 156.6 ° W) Alert, Canada (82.5 ° N, 62.3 ° W) Zeppelin, Norway (78.9 ° N, 11.9 ° E) Tiksi, Russia (71.6 ° N, 128.9 ° E)

### **Model performance in Russia**



### Model performance in Russian flaring source regions



MISR AAOD: 0.0053; CMAQ AAOD: 0.0045; NMB: - 14.0%

**MISR**: The Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer

### **Role of Russian BC emissions in the Arctic**



Improvement of modeled BC levels are mainly found during the Arctic Haze periods, i.e. December – March.

### Role of gas flaring in triggering the high BC episodes



### Gas flaring contribution as a function of measured BC



Gas flaring from Russia contributes an increasing fraction as the measured BC concentrations at the Arctic increase.

# Outline

# Introduction

- Background: climate effects from black carbon
- Motivation: mitigate warming in the Arctic
- Black carbon emissions reconstruction for Russia
- To fill information gaps
- Numerical simulation and evaluation
- Hemispheric WRF/CMAQ modeling in the Arctic

# Impact assessment

Transport and deposition of black carbon in the Arctic

### Monthly BC dry deposition perturbations

#### BC dry deposition (RUS – HTAP)

ratio: (RUS – HTAP)/RUS



JUN

DEC

### Monthly BC dry deposition perturbations



## **Conclusions**

 Russian black carbon emissions are strongly underestimated, e.g. gas flaring.

By using the new Russian BC emission as model input, the model performance could be significantly improved against observations. Previous studies by revising the physical processes in the model could be misleading.

Gas flaring is a crucial emission source contributing to the high BC episodes in the Arctic although its source area is limited within a small region.

The role of Russian emission on the BC surface level and deposition in the Arctic has been significantly underestimated and even overlooked in some regions.

# Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Interagency Acquisition Agreement S-OES-11\_IAA-0027 from the **U.S. Department of State** to the **U.S. Department of Energy**. We sincerely thank our Russian counterparts Alexander Romanov, Irina Morozova, and Yulia Ignatieva and Vitaly Y. Prikhodko's coordination with **SRI - Atmosphere** to obtain part of the emission source data used in this study.

Data Repository

ABCI Arctic Black Carbon Initiative						@ LIN	(S   HELP
		HOME	ABOUT ABCI ~	DATA ~	PUBLICATIONS	PRESENTATIO	ONS
Downloads http://abci.ornl.gov/index.shtml							Downloads
🕹 Download Datasets							
Dataset Description							Link
Visualization input data in the original format with one sheet per end-use sector and columns for each fuel.							XLSX
Visualization input data in a relational format with a row for each non-zero sector-fuel pair. Includes additional sheets for grouping fuels and Oblasts and some analysis tables that allow the user to estimate the effect of changes.						XLSX	

**Reference:** Huang, K., Fu, J. S., V. Y. Prikhodko, J. M. Storey, A. Romanov, E. L. Hodson, J. Cresko, I. Morozova, Y. Ignatieva, J. Cabaniss (2015), <u>Russian anthropogenic black carbon:</u> <u>Emission reconstruction and Arctic black carbon simulation</u>, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, doi:10.1002/2015JD023358.